



London Borough of Enfield

Report Title	Presentation of the revised Licensing Policy, Gambling Policy, Casino Resolution & Sexual Entertainment Venue Resolution.
Report to	Licensing Committee - SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT
Date of Meeting	7th October 2024
Cabinet Member	Cllr Susan Erbil, Cabinet Member for Planning and Regulatory Services
Executive Director / Director	Perry Scott - Executive Director of Environment and Communities Doug Wilkinson – Director of Environment & Street Scene
Report Author	Martin Rattigan Martin.rattigan@enfield.gov.uk
Ward(s) affected	All
Key Decision Number	KD 5740
Classification	Part 1

Purpose of Report

1. Update at 3rd October 2024.
2. Following feedback from Informal Cabinet on the 30th September 2024, and a final review of all documentation, subsequent updates are detailed in Table 1.
3. These updates have been made since the initial submission of the reports in advance of the Licensing Committee meeting on the 7th October 2024.
4. All changes are clerical and have no impact on the content of the report.
5. Updated versions of the documents are attached to this supplementary report.

Table 1 – Updates to Documents

Section	Previous Text	Amended Text
Recommendations		
II	Retention of the existing four Cumulative Impact Zones in Upper Edmonton , Enfield Highway, Enfield Town and Southgate.	Retention of the existing four Cumulative Impact Zones in Edmonton , Enfield Highway, Enfield Town and Southgate. For Edmonton this includes Upper Edmonton, Lower Edmonton and Edmonton Green (Appendix A- Section J).
VII	Introduction of two new Gambling Vulnerability Zones which will mirror the current Edmonton and Enfield Highway Cumulative Impact Zones (as outlined in the Enfield Statement of Licensing Policy).	Introduction of two new Gambling Vulnerability Areas which will mirror the current Edmonton and Enfield Highway Cumulative Impact Zones (as outlined in the Enfield Statement of Licensing Policy).
Appendix A Enfield Statement of Licensing Policy		
Version Control	11.09.24 V14	03.10.24 V16
4.2	A public consultation was also undertaken and received X responses.	A public consultation was also undertaken and received 20 responses.
10.6	The Responsible Authorities are – email addresses provided	The Responsible Authorities are: (Insertion of email addresses for the police, fire, and public health)
11.18	Enfield Council has undertaken an assessment of the four existing Cumulative Impact Zones (CIZs): Upper Edmonton Enfield Highway Enfield Town Southgate	Enfield Council has undertaken an assessment of the four existing Cumulative Impact Zones (CIZs): Edmonton (incorporating parts, but not all, of the following wards; Ponders End, Jubilee, Lower Edmonton, Haselbury, Edmonton Green, and Upper Edmonton) Enfield Highway (incorporating parts, but not all, of the following wards; Enfield Lock, Bullsmoor, Carterhatch, and Brimsdown). Enfield Town (incorporating parts, but not all, of Town ward).

		<p>Southgate (incorporating parts, but not all, of Soutgate ward).</p> <p>NB: All references to Upper Edmonton have been amended to Edmonton, which includes Upper Edmonton, Lower Edmonton & Edmonton Green.</p>
11.19	<p>Enfield Council has also undertaken an assessment for two new CIZs</p> <p>Bowes Palmers Green</p>	<p>Enfield Council has also undertaken an assessment for two new CIZs:</p> <p>Bowes (incorporating parts, but not all, of Bowes and Palmers Green wards).</p> <p>Palmers Green (incorporating parts, but not all, of Palmers Green ward).</p>
11.20	<p>The assessment reviewed the evidence to support the continuation of CIZ's in the existing areas and the establishment of CIZ's in Bowes and Palmers Green. Enfield Council considers that the evidence does support the continuation and establishment of CIZs in the six areas outlined in 11.16 and 11.17 above. This evidence can be found in outlined in Annexes 2 – 7 of this policy</p>	<p>The assessment reviewed the evidence to support the continuation of CIZ's in the existing areas and the establishment of CIZ's in Bowes and Palmers Green. Enfield Council considers that the evidence does support the continuation and establishment of CIZs in the six areas outlined in 11.18 and 11.19 above. This evidence can be found in outlined in Annexes 2 – 7 of this policy</p>
Annex 2-7 Cumulative Impact Assessment		
Maps pg 39	Map Title: Palmers Green and Bowes Park CIZ.	Map Title: Palmers Green and Bowes CIZ.
2.8	<p>In order to review the four existing CIZs (Upper Edmonton, Enfield Town, Enfield Highway and Southgate) we have used neighbourhood Police licensing and crime data sets for varying periods. The same data has been used to establish a case for the establishment of the two proposed new CIZs in Palmers Green and Bowes.</p>	<p>In order to review the four existing CIZs (Edmonton, Enfield Town, Enfield Highway and Southgate) we have used neighbourhood Police licensing and crime data sets for varying periods. The same data has been used to establish a case for the establishment of the two proposed new CIZs in Palmers Green and Bowes.</p>
2.11	<p>As can be seen from the data below for all crime there is a relatively consistent trajectory over the four years included, with some fluctuation between years. The graph in particular outlines the three different levels of crime in the 6 areas. Upper Edmonton has the</p>	<p>As can be seen from the data below for all crime there is a relatively consistent trajectory over the four years included, with some fluctuation between years. The graph in particular outlines the three different levels of crime in the 6 areas. Edmonton has the highest levels with Enfield Highway and Enfield Town at a middle level and</p>

	highest levels with Enfield Highway and Enfield Town at a middle level and Southgate and the two proposed areas (palmers Green and Bowes) at a lower, but still significant level.	Southgate and the two proposed areas (palmers Green and Bowes) at a lower, but still significant level. NB: The tables have all been relabelled to reflect that Upper Edmonton is Edmonton (covering Upper Edmonton, Lower Edmonton and Edmonton Green)
2.15	The data shows that the issues that the CIZs were established to address remain an ongoing concern for the Licensing Authority. In reviewing the data, it is considered that the evidence supports the case for continuing CIZs in Upper Edmonton, Enfield Town, Enfield Highway and Southgate.	The data shows that the issues that the CIZs were established to address remain an ongoing concern for the Licensing Authority. In reviewing the data, it is considered that the evidence supports the case for continuing CIZs in Edmonton, Enfield Town, Enfield Highway and Southgate.
Annex 2 – Annex 7	Map only with no narrative	For clarification the wards that the CIZ covers has now been detailed next to each of the maps. <u>ANNEX 2: THE EDMONTON CUMULATIVE IMPACT ZONE</u> This CIZ incorporates parts, but not all, of the following wards: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ponders End • Jubilee • Lower Edmonton • Haselbury • Edmonton Green • Upper Edmonton <u>ANNEX 3: THE ENFIELD HIGHWAY CUMULATIVE IMPACT ZONE</u> This CIZ incorporates parts, but not all, of the following wards: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Enfield Lock • Bullsmoor • Carterhatch • Brimsdown <u>ANNEX 4: THE ENFIELD TOWN CUMULATIVE IMPACT ZONE</u> This CIZ incorporates parts, but not all, of the following wards: <u>ANNEX 5: THE SOUTHGATE CUMULATIVE IMPACT ZONE</u>

		<p>This CIZ incorporates parts, but not all, of the following wards</p> <p><u>ANNEX 6: BOWES CUMULATIVE IMPACT ZONE</u></p> <p>This CIZ incorporates parts, but not all, of the following wards:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Bowes • Palmers Green <p><u>ANNEX 7: PALMERS GREEN CUMULATIVE IMPACT ZONE</u></p> <p>This CIZ incorporates parts, but not all, of the following wards:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Palmers Green
Appendix B Enfield Gambling Statement of Principals		
Version Control	11.09.24 V5	03.10.24 V7
Appendix E Enfield's Gambling Vulnerability Area	<p>The Enfield Gambling Vulnerability area has been outlined in line with data that reflects both vulnerabilities that are linked to gambling related harm by evidence and research and the denser location of gambling premises. The areas covered by this are contiguous with two existing Cumulative Impact Zones (Enfield Highway and Edmonton) and the maps below show the areas covered by the Gambling Vulnerability Area. Added text in yellow</p>	<p>The Edmonton Gambling Vulnerability Area incorporates parts, but not all, of the following wards:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ponders End • Jubilee • Lower Edmonton • Haselbury • Edmonton Green • Upper Edmonton <p>The Enfield Highway Gambling Vulnerability Area incorporates parts, but not all, of the following wards:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Enfield Lock • Bullsmoor • Carterhatch • Brimsdown
1.6.3	<p>Consultation took place between *** and *** 2024 and, as far as practicable, the Council followed the Consultation Principles issued by the government is available at https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/consultation-principles-guidance</p>	<p>Consultation took place between 17th of May and 11th of August 2024 and, as far as practicable, the Council followed the Consultation Principles issued by the government is available at https://www.gov.uk/government/publication/s/consultation-principles-guidance</p>
Appendix C CIZ Review document		
Version Control	08.09.24 V3 (there was an error on the footer of this document reading Version 2.1 150724)	03.10.24 V4

Doc Title	<u>Cumulative Impact Assessment for Enfield</u>	<u>Cumulative Impact Zones Review (Assessment) for Enfield</u>
1.1	<p>Enfield Council has undertaken an assessment of the four existing Cumulative Impact Zones (CIZs):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Upper Edmonton • Enfield Highway • Enfield Town • Southgate 	<p>Enfield Council has undertaken an assessment of the four existing Cumulative Impact Zones (CIZs):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Edmonton • Enfield Highway • Enfield Town • Southgate
1.3	<p>The assessment reviewed the evidence to support the continuation of CIZ's in the existing areas and the establishment of CIZ's in Bowes and Palmers Green. Enfield Council considers that the evidence does support the continuation and establishment of CIZs in the six areas outlined in 11.16 and 11.17 above. This evidence can be found in outlined in Annexes 2 – 7 of this policy.</p>	<p>The assessment reviewed the evidence to support the continuation of CIZ's in the existing areas and the establishment of CIZ's in Bowes and Palmers Green. Enfield Council considers that the evidence does support the continuation and establishment of CIZs in the six areas outlined in above. This evidence can be found in outlined in Annexes 2 – 7 of this policy. This evidence can be found outlined in Annexes 2 – 7 of the Statement of Licensing Policy.</p>

Report Author: Martin Rattigan
Interim Head of Regulatory Services
Martin.Rattigan@enfield.gov.uk 020 8132 0927

ESS2425_007